EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Pages 1 - 143

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP, JUDGE

WAYMO, LLC,)
Plaintiff,)

)

VS.) No. C 17-00939 WHA

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

San Francisco, California Wednesday, August 16, 2017

FULL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, INCLUDING SEALED PORTION

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff:

QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP

50 California Street

22nd Floor

San Francisco, California 94111

BY: CHARLES K. VERHOEVEN, ESQ.
JORDAN R. JAFFE, ESQ.

MELISSA J. BAILY, ESQ. JAMES D. JUDAH, ESQ.

For Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc., Ottomotto LLC, and Otto Trucking LLC:

MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105

BY: ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ, ESQ.

ESTHER KIM CHANG, ESQ.

Reported By: BELLE BALL, CSR 8785, CRR, RDR

Official Reporter, U.S. District Court

(Appearances continued, next page)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Of use? So, are you going to be able to show THE COURT: that there was some point in time where that exact set of LiDAR signals was used to sort of jump-start their program? Even if they're not using it now, they used it at one point in their development? MS. BAILY: Well, I would say, Your Honor, having that information that resulted from seven years of iterations and driving miles, it's in some ways the crown jewels of the kind of technology that we are talking about. So I would say that having access to that and having -- and evidence of use with respect to that, that's a big damages number. All right. So, but I -- maybe we'll hear more THE COURT: about this, in this closed session. But I think I know the trade secret you are talking about. And are you going to be able to show that that document is in the files of Uber? I don't think so. MS. BAILY: We have evidence of the use of the information. THE COURT: I'm going to just leave it there. Do you know which one she's talking about? MS. DUNN: I do know. I --Well, all right. Well, that one, if it was in THE COURT: fact used and consulted, that would be some valuable

information. I think that's correct. I don't know what the

dollar amounts would come to.